

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	_] :	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/261,030	61,030 03/02/1999		CHRISTOPHER JOEL NACK	RA9-98-072	7234
25299	7590	03/24/2003	•		
IBM CORI		ON	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 12 DEPT 9CCA	A, BLDG		CUFF, MICHAEL A		
RESEARCH	I TRIAN	GLE PARK, NC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3627	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/261,030

Applicant(s)

Nack

Examiner

Michael Cuff

Art Unit **3627**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sl	eet with	the correspondence address					
Period	for Reply								
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	_							
	- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.								
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6 ne application to beco) MONTHS fr ome ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 13, 2</u>	003		<u> </u>					
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This act	ion is non-fina	l.						
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Claims								
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 5, 6, 8-11, 15, 16, 18-20, 23, and 24			is/are pending in the application.					
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.					
6) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 5, 6, 8-11, 15, 16, 18-20, 23, and 24			is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers								
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepte	ed or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be he	eld in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	: a)□ a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office ad	ction.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) 🗆	3) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:								
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	ed.						
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	ed in App	lication No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 1	l 7.2(a)).	-					
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·							
·	•								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachm		priority andor	00 0.0.0	5. 33 123 4.14,0. 12.1.					
_	ortice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Se	ımmary (PTC	9-413) Paper No(s)					
2) No	stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent	Application (PTO-152)					
3) 🗌 Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/261,030 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's Response

1. Applicant's amendment submitted, 1/13/03, has been received and entered. Claims 2, 5, 6, 8, 15, 18, 19 and 23 have been amended. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7, 12, 13, 14, 17, 21 and 22 have been canceled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2, 5, 6, 8-11, 15, 16, 18-20 and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swinamer et al. in view of Walker et al.

Swinamer et al. shows all of the limitations of the claims except for specifying the use of a wireless management device/PDA including displaying information and sending information to and from the PDA and the POS via a central controller also using wireless modems.

Swinamer et al. shows, figure 1, a hardwired method of communicating (sending) request for management decisions (override details), including price information, credit clearance (monetary pick-up, approval), security alerts (lock up of POS) and other incidents requiring the

Application/Control Number: 09/261,030 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

manager's attention. This is done for a plurality of POS terminals. The manager is at the master station (central controller system) and can determine through verbal communication the satisfactoriness or unsatisfactoriness level of the POS terminal and has the ability to fix problems to ensure satisfactoriness.

Walker et al. teaches, figure 1, a system and method for dynamic assembly of packages in retail environments. System 100 includes a server 102 which is connected through a network 104 (LAN) to a plurality of point-of-sale terminals (column 4, lines 42-44) in order to improve information flow to better serve customers. The use of a PDA is one examples given as an output device for both the server and the POS terminals.

Based on the teaching of Walker et al., it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to modify the Swinamer system to incorporate the LAN and PDA system of Walker et al. in order to improve information flow to better serve customers.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts that neither Swinamer nor Walker show the signaling of data from a mobile manger system to a central controller to provide an override signal in response to an override condition occurring in a POS. Applicant fails to see how or why a PDA or wireless management device could or would be used in Swinamer.

Application/Control Number: 09/261,030 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

The examiner asserts that the above limitation is shown by introducing wireless communication to old and well known management functions. Swinamer shows the old and well known functions of a retail manager who is in communication with the employees servicing the POS terminals by means of a phone system. Walker teaches a system and method for dynamic assembly of packages in retail environments. While Walker has a different purpose for its communication system, shown in figure 1, it specifically mention PDAs as a means for wireless communication both at the central server and at the POS sites.

Both references solve the same problem of communicating information from a central point to a group of POS terminals. It would be obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to want to use the better communications system. (This is the "why".)

Replacing the phone communication system of Swinamer with the wireless communications system of Walker including the use of PDAs would be an obvious improvement. (This is the "how").

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 09/261,030

Art Unit: 3627

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 5

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael Cuff at

telephone number (703) 308-0610.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. The fax

phone number for this Group is (703) 308-6306.

chael luff 3/21/03

March 21, 2003